

## Community Working Group Meeting # 2

Amherst Island Wind Energy Project / 160960595

Date/Time:	February 13, 2017 / 7-9:15 PM
Place:	Amherst Island Public School
Next Meeting:	TBD
Attendees:	Ariel Bautista (Windlectric), Paul McCorquodale (Windlectric), Katharine Myrans (Stantec), Emma Malcolm (Stantec), Gwen Lauret (local resident), Larry Fleming (local resident), Janet Grace (local resident), Chris Raffael (MOECC)
Absentees:	Duncan Ashley (Loyalist Township)
Distribution:	All Committee Members

## Safety Moment: Facilitator invited CWG members to provide a safety moment.

Item:	Action:
Duncan Ashley sent his regrets prior to the meeting that he was unable to attend due to a conflict with a scheduled Council Meeting.	
Review of Agenda and Outstanding Action Items.	
The agenda was reviewed by the group. CWG members can forward agenda items to the facilitator in advance of CWG meetings.	
Action items from the January 19, 2017 meeting were reviewed. The status updates are as follows:	Windlectric to post a link to the FAQ page on the IESO website for
1) Windlectric posted the Operations Plan on the Project website	information on the FIT
<ol> <li>Windlectric needs to post a link on the Project website to the IESO FAQ.</li> </ol>	contract. Windlectric to post the CWG ToR online.
<ol> <li>The CLC and CWG ToRs were updated and the CLC ToR was posted online. The CWG ToR can now also be posted.</li> </ol>	
<ol> <li>Windlectric posted the Questions and Answers from the previous meeting on the website.</li> </ol>	
5) Windlectric was asked to provide a map identifying the fuel storage location on the island. As noted in the REA documents, fuel will be stored in Central Laydown Area. Windlectric acknowledges and is committed to complying with the 30 m setback requirement of storing fuel near a watercourse. The MOECC confirmed the 30 m setback is only a requirement for storing fuel near inland watercourses, and that fuel storage on water vessels is governed under a different set of regulations.	



February 13, 2017 Community Working Group Meeting # 2 Page 2 of 8

Item:	Action:
<ol> <li>Windlectric posted contact information for Tim Sullivan, Project Development Manager, on the website.</li> </ol>	setting up a
7) Windlectric was asked to provide the estimated payment amount to the community: Windlectric indicated that the agreed-upon minimum value in the Community Benefits Agreement with the Township (available on the Township's website) is \$7,000 per MW rating of the project. This is approximately \$500,000 per year. A CWG member mentioned that there are other financial benefits besides those in the Agreement and recommended that Windlectric agreed to provide a "Community Benefits" section on the Project website share information with the community regarding the benefits of the Project, including estimated payments, value in local tax dollars, local procurement information, domestic content regulations, etc.	f Windlectric will continue to investigate a "bounce-back" email and check for
8) Windlectric was to look into setting up a "bounce-back" email response: Windlectric has attempted to do this but is having technical issues. Windlectric has tried to manually respond to a email inquiries, but remains committed to setting up a 'bounce back' response. Some CWG members have been told by members of the community that are yet to receive a response submitted emails. Windlectric noted that they will check to see any emails are missing from their record, and will endeavor to ensure a response is provided.	to Windlectric to look into if other potential office spaces available on the Island and to
9) Windlectric was to look into the possibility of using the local church as an office (and to rehabilitate it): Windlectric confirme that they looked into using the church as an office space. In discussions with the Township, it was determined that there are number of issues with the church, and that likely the Township v not be able to lease it to Windlectric. CWG members suggested other potential buildings on the island, including the "old bank" the "blacksmith shop" or "Victoria Hall". It was also suggested that Windlectric consider using a trailer, however, there may be aspects of the Loyalist Township Bylaw that may prevent this. Windlectric to look into the by-laws around setting up a trailer o an office.	a vill d ',
It was noted by some CWG members, that members of the public still have an interest in attending CWG meetings. Windlectric noted that the request may be considered in the future, however, the current format the meetings is generating a lot of positive discussion with members. Therefore, it is their preference to host a few more 'closed-door' meetings before reconsidering the format for the group.	
Company Updates	



February 13, 2017 Community Working Group Meeting # 2 Page 3 of 8

ltem:	Action:	
Island dock construction is underway and currently on schedule but is several weeks from completion (and is dependent on ice conditions).	Windlectric to provide CWG members with an	
The CPU Amendment (related to the mainland dock on the Invista property) is under review. The CPU amendment submission was not posted on the Environmental Registry by the MOECC but documents can be obtained, in person, through James Mahoney in the Kingston MOECC Regional office. Mainland dock construction (in-water works only) will begin once the CPU amendment is finalized but will pause for fish timing restrictions and start again around July 1.	updated mainland dock construction schedule via email, when available.	
The Operations Plan has been updated based on comments received from Loyalist Township on the latest version, and Windlectric is working with the Township on finalizing the Plan.		
The ERT appeal has been dismissed and Windlectric hopes this will provide assurance to the community that the Company is operating in accordance with regulations.	Windlectric to consider	
Windlectric issued an apology letter to the community regarding the recent power outage. A final report is being prepared by Windlectric's sub-contractor that will detail the cause of the power outage. Windlectric asked the CWG for feedback on how the Company handled the incident, and sought recommendations for how best to distribute the \$7,500.00 donation to the community. The CWG members feel the donation should support a cause that directly benefits Amherst Island residents. Several suggestions were made but it was determined that the general community should provide input. Windlectric will post the question on their website and through social media and will consider sending a flyer to residents on the island asking community members how they would like to see the donation spent, with a specific cut-off date for receiving community input.	sending out a flyer asking the community for their feedback on how the donation to the Island should be spent as well as setting up a comment box location and receiving input via email and phone.	
Contact information for Dan Perrin (Site Manager) and Tim Sullivan (Local Development Manager) has been posted on the Project website. At the request of CWG members, it is also being included in the CWG meeting minutes:		
1) Dan Perrin, Site Manager – Phone Number: 613-985-4466		
<ol> <li>Tim Sullivan, Local Development Manager – Phone Number: 416- 605-3070</li> </ol>		
CWG and CLC Terms of Reference (ToR)	Facilitator to bring the	
Final copies of the CWG and CLC ToRs were provided to the CWG and CLC members for signature. All attending members signed the ToR's. The absentee member will be provided with the ToRs for signature at the next CWG meeting.	CWG and CLC ToR's to the next CWG meeting for final signature from absent CWG member.	
CWG # 2 Review of Submitted Questions		



February 13, 2017 Community Working Group Meeting # 2 Page 4 of 8

Item:	Action:
Windlectric provided the CWG members with a log of all questions received by the Company from members of the public from January 20, 2017 through to February 9, 2017. The facilitator read each of the questions aloud, and the Company provided answers. A copy of the Q&A Log will be posted to the Project website. Additional and supplementary questions from CWG members that arose during the review of the Q&A log are as follows:	Windlectric to post a copy of the Questions and Answers to the Project website.
Follow-up to Question # 1:	
Q: What is the process for tree removal?	
A: Windlectric conducted a legal survey of the public rights of way. Following this, the Company hired an arborist from Stantec to identify design plans to minimize the number of trees that need to be removed.	
Follow-up to Question # 13:	
Q: Mud mats were installed and then were removed. Who asked for the removal and why?	
A: Windlectric removed the matting at the request of MOECC because it wasn't completely clear in the permits that the mats could be used in the Stormwater Management Report. Based on this feedback from the MOECC, a conservative approach was used to ensure compliance with the issued plans. In consultation with the MOECC, most of the mats were removed. In case there is any misinformation in the community about the removal of the mats, both the MOECC and Windlectric confirm that there was no environmental issue (e.g., contamination with the matting), the plan simply wasn't specific enough to the use of mats.	
Follow-up to Question # 16:	
Q: Can Windlectric provide a list of permits that are required for the dock construction?	
A: A complete list of permits and approvals are documented in the Project Description Report that can be found on the Project Website.	
Follow-up to Question # 21:	Windlectric to consider
Comment: There was a safety incident recently involving a flag-man working for the Company. It is reported that a car ignored his signal, and sped past the worker. Windlectric noted that they did not get a license plate number for the car, and have chosen not to launch a police investigation into the issue. However, the Company noted that if a similar incident occurs again, legal action may be pursued, as the safety of workers is a top priority for the Company. The CWG recommended	posting information online about pedestrian and driver safety laws and regulations for dealing with flag-men.



February 13, 2017 Community Working Group Meeting # 2 Page 5 of 8

ltem:	Action:
issuing a newsletter to community members, or posting a notice on the Project website to inform them of the laws and regulations around driving near flag-men on the road.	
CWG Discussion and New Questions	
CWG members presented new questions to the Company for discussion. The issues raised are detailed below:	
Q: Will the power lines be buried? Can Windlectric provide a map of which power lines will be buried and those that will be above-ground?	
A: The collector lines will be buried. From the substation to the island dock the lines will be above-ground on single steel poles with three conductors. There will also be a submarine cable. On the mainland there will likely be both above and below ground cables.	
Q: Are you working with the hydro company?	
A: Yes, Windlectric is having discussions with HONI. With regard to safety, where an access road to a turbine intersects with an existing hydro or utility line, Windlectric hopes to bury the line. Maps will be provided in the pre-construction report. The Project will connect with provincial hydro lines and not local lines.	
Q: Will there be 'red night-time lights' put on the turbines?	
A: Yes, these are required by Transport Canada and number of lights required is determined by Transport Canada based on the layout of the turbines and how closely they are grouped together.	
Comment: A CWG member was unable to print the schedules in the February 7 <sup>th</sup> Operations Plan.	Windlectric to provide CWG members with a copy of schedules
Response: Windlectric will check to see if there were any formatting issues with the schedules in the Operations Plan, and will provide CWG member with a copy of the schedule.	contained in the February 7 <sup>th</sup> Operations Plan.
Q: Can Windlectric provide a map of all the trees that will be removed?	
A: This map will be provided in the pre-construction Report.	



February 13, 2017 Community Working Group Meeting # 2 Page 6 of 8

ltem:	Action:
Q: Can Windlectric provide a map of the road widening plans?	
A: This map will be provided in the pre-construction Report.	
Comment: The community has concerns with the proposed Communications Plan. It is noted that some of the communications methods used by the Company, such as Twitter, are not effective tools for some residents living on the Island. The CWG members noted that a newsletter and an electronic information board at the ferry would be a useful way to communicate updates and important information with residents.	Windlectric to consider developing a Community Newsletter and establishing an electronic information board and posting at the Ferry docks.
Response: Windlectric will consider other communication tools, as per the CWG's suggestion.	
Q: How will you manage drainage?	
A: The company has prepared, and will continue to prepare, stormwater management plans to address drainage concerns and assess impacts of impervious/compacted surfaces (e.g., access roads and laydown areas) on surface water runoff.	
Q: What does the Company plan to do when residents complain about noise? Will Windlectric halt operations?	
A: Windlectric is committed to following noise by-law requirements during construction as outlined in the Operations Plan for the Project. If, during the operations of the project, an issue arises where we are exceeding regulated limits, the issue will be addressed immediately.	
Comment: The Company has not been following the protocol and timeframes they committed to for posting and responding to questions submitted by members of the public.	Windlectric to work on improving response time and process for posting and responding to
Response: Windlectric acknowledges that they need to post questions on the website in a more timely manner and are working on operational efficiencies to improve this process. As a default option, they will respond to all questions at the CWG meetings, and then the log will subsequently be posted on the Project website.	questions submitted by the public.
Comment: The CWG strongly advocates for the development of a Community Newsletter. Members recommend it be distributed by mail- drop to residents of the Island and some residents on the mainland.	Provide an update on the development of a Community Newsletter at the next CWG meeting.



February 13, 2017 Community Working Group Meeting # 2 Page 7 of 8

Item:	Action:
Response: Windlectric has been looking into the development of a Community Newsletter. We will provide an update of our efforts at the next CWG meeting.	
Comment: A CWG member said they believe the "Catholic Cemetery" was confused with the "Pentland Cemetery" in the February 7 <sup>th</sup> Operations Plan.	Windlectric to revise Operations Plan if needed to correct names of cemeteries.
Response: Windlectric to look into this, and revise as necessary.	
Q: What plans does the Company have to identify waterlines? If water is affected, how quickly will this be fixed?	Windlectric to provide responses to unanswered questions
A: Windlectric to provide a response in the Q&A Log.	in the Q&A log.
Q: What is the possibility that Algonquin Power will sell the Project in the next five years?	
A: At this point selling the Project is not being contemplated by the Company. Algonquin Power acquires projects for the long-term.	
Q: How is the value of the Project determined, is it the FIT contract?	
A: A number of factors are considered in determining is a project if profitable. The conditions of the FIT contract do factor into this, but are not the deciding factor.	
Q: Does the Company have a decommissioning plan in place?	
A: A Decommissioning Plan Report is part of the REA.	
Q: Has the Company established the transmission line route for the Project on the mainland? What was the permitting process for this?	
A: Yes, this has been established. The transmission line and the route of the transmission line is detailed and approved in the REA Amendment and the OEB Leave to Construct permit.	
The CWG discussed the issue of the Invista property and the CPU Amendment. It was noted that the other 'half' of the Invista property is not part of the CPU Amendment. The MOECC noted that the current CPU designation for property use is "ParkLand Use". This does not suggest that the property is a municipal, provincial or federal park.	Windlectric to provide a written explanation of the zoning on the Invista property.



February 13, 2017 Community Working Group Meeting # 2 Page 8 of 8

Item:	Action:
As a housekeeping item, it was agreed that the timing of the CWG meetings would change from 7-9PM, to 6:40-8:40PM. The date of the next CWG meeting is yet to be determined.	
The meeting adjourned at 9:15 PM	

The foregoing is considered to be a true and accurate record of items discussed. If any discrepancies or inconsistencies are noted, please contact the writer immediately.

## Stantec Consulting Ltd.

Emma Malcolm, M.A. Consultation Practitioner Phone: 905-944-7785 emma.malcolm@stantec.com